Serial No. 10/520,184

Amendment Dated: January 12, 2007

Reply to Office Action Mailed: October 13, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 038920.55820US

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 2 stands withdrawn from further

consideration. Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 1 was rejected as being indefinite with regard

to the equation stated therein. Accordingly, Applicants have amended the

equation to recite that  $0.4Da \le h \le 0.8Da$ . Support for this equation is noted

throughout the specification including, for example, at page 15, lines 7-12.

Accordingly, Applicants submit claim 1 is definite within the strictures of 35

U.S.C. §112.

In the Office Action, claim 1 was rejected, to the extent definite, under 35

U.S.C. §102(e)<sup>1</sup> as being anticipated by GUSTAFSSON et al. (US 3,292,980).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection in view of the clarification made to

claim 1.

As amended, claim 1 clearly recites a rolling bearing for a vehicle

comprised of outer and inner rings. Rolling elements are rotatably provided

between the outer and inner ring raceways. In particular, a minimum thickness

of a part where the outer ring raceway is provided in the axial direction on a

middle portion of the outer ring is defined by "h", where h is  $\geq 0.4$ Da and  $\leq 0.8$ Da

with Da being the diameter of each rolling element. As discussed in Applicants'

1 In view of the 1966 issue date of GUSTAFSSON, we will address this as a rejection under

§102(b).

Page 4 of 6

Serial No. 10/520,184

Amendment Dated: January 12, 2007

Reply to Office Action Mailed: October 13, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 038920.55820US

specification, maintaining this prescribed relationship presents several

advantages over the prior art, such as the prevention of excessive stress being

placed on the outer ring as well as elastic deformation of the outer ring, even

when the outer ring is fixed to a light-weight and low-rigidity transmission case

(see page 21, first full paragraph). Moreover, it is possible to prevent middle-to-

middle contact in the rolling contact parts in order to maintain sufficient flaking

life (see page 22, top).

In contrast, GUSTAFSSON merely describes a quiet running bearing

assembly utilizing rolling bearings (col. 1, lines 9-11). In that regard,

GUSTAFSSON attempts to reduce vibration or noise effects by a specific

relationship of the wall thickness of the outer ring "A" and the difference

between the outer diameter "D" of the outer ring and the board diameter "d" of

the inner ring to not less than 0.15 (see col. 2, lines 43-62). GUSTAFSSON is

entirely silent on any relationship with regard to the diameter of the rolling

bearings 16 with respect to the radial thickness A. Hence, Applicants

respectfully submit claim 1 is patentable over GUSTAFSSON.

Moreover, it should be pointed out that even in the relationship utilized by

GUSTAFSSON, the reference is only concerned with a minimum radial

thickness and does not disclose any upper bound as in Applicants' invention. Of

course, this is true because GUSTAFSSON is not directed toward the problems

faced, and overcome, by Applicants' invention. Thus, not only does

Page 5 of 6

Serial No. 10/520,184

Amendment Dated: January 12, 2007

Reply to Office Action Mailed: October 13, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 038920.55820US

GUSTAFSSON fail to anticipate amended claim 1, it cannot render it obvious as

there is no motivation, suggestion or teaching to modify GUSTAFSSON with the

prescribed relationship of Applicants' recited claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit claim 1 is patentable over the

prior art of record. An early notice to that effect is solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #038920.55820US).

Respectfully submitted,

January 12, 2007

Registration No. 32,169

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:pct